CAPE COD NATIONAL SEASHORE ADVISORY COMMISSION THREE HUNDRED AND FIRST MEETING

HELD AT CAPE COD NATIONAL SEASHORE, Marconi Station Area, Park Headquarters, South Wellfleet, Massachusetts, on Monday, January 11, 2016, commencing at 1:01 p.m.

SITTING:

Richard Delaney, Chairman (partial)
Larry Spaulding
Don Nuendel
Lilli Green
Joseph Craig
Sheila Lyons
Mary-Jo Avellar
Mark Robinson

Nat Goddard, alternate

Also present:

George Price, Superintendent Kathy Tevyaw, Deputy Superintendent Lauren McKean, Park Planner Robert Cook, Acting Chief of Natural Resources and Science

Audience members

LINDA M. CORCORAN
CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
P. O. Box 4
Kingston, Massachusetts 02364
(781) 585-8172

I N D E X

<u> </u>	age
Adoption of Agenda	3
Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting	
(November 16, 2015)	4
Reports of Officers	9
Reports of Subcommittees	11
Update of Pilgrim Nuclear Plant Emergency Planning.	11
Superintendent's Report	13
Shorebird Management Planning	15
NPS Policy on the Use of UAS - Drones	78
Nauset Light Beach	84
Nauset Spit Update	90
National Park Service Centennial	90
Highlands Center Update	91
Improved Properties/Town Bylaws	93
Herring River Wetland Restoration	94
	95
Climate Friendly Parks	90
Old Business	95
Live Lightly Campaign Progress Report	95
	5 0
New Business	95
Data and Aganda for Nort Mosting	97
Date and Agenda for Next Meeting	91
Public Comment	98
Adjournment	100
Reporter's Certificate	101

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. DELANEY: Thank you, everyone. I'm happy to
3	bring together the 301st meeting of the Cape Cod
4	National Seashore Advisory Commission.
5	ADOPTION OF AGENDA
6	MR. DELANEY: And we have, as always, an agenda
7	that's sent out in advance. I'll ask for a motion to
8	adopt that agenda.
9	MR. NUENDEL: So moved.
10	MS. AVELLAR: Second.
11	MR. DELANEY: I will only note that I'm going to
12	with your permission ask the superintendent to report on
13	the shorebird management plan first before going through
14	the rest of the agenda, in part because I want to really
15	hear that and I may have to excuse myself a little bit
16	early. So if you'd indulge me in that, that would be
17	great. Sheila has agreed to step in as vice-chair
18	should I have to leave before we finish the meeting.
19	So with that one note, I'll ask for a motion to
20	adopt the agenda as amended.
21	MS. GREEN: So moved.
22	MR. NUENDEL: Yeah.
23	MR. DELANEY: Don.

All in favor, say aye.

1	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
2	APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (NOVEMBER 16, 2015)
3	MR. DELANEY: And we also have the minutes sent out
4	in advance.
5	MR. PRICE: Actually, Mr. Chair, I sent a note.
6	Unfortunately, because of the holidays and everything,
7	we didn't have a chance to send you the package in
8	advance with the hard copies. I just received the
9	electronic version on Friday, which I did send out, but
10	I'm not assuming everybody had a chance to review it,
11	but I'll leave that up to you to decide if you decide to
12	approve them this meeting or the next meeting.
13	MR. DELANEY: Okay, then that's fine.
14	Has our scribe, our faithful scribe been able to
15	read the print, first of all?
16	MR. NUENDEL: Well, I sat in front of the computer
17	and read it, and actually it was nice. You know, it was
18	better than paper flopping all over the place. I was
19	surprised at how much work we got done at that meeting.
20	It always surprises me.
21	But they look good. I just have one question on
22	page 100, line 5. George is talking about the crows and
23	the management of predators. And on line 5 there's the
24	word murder, and I think it might be number or family,

1	murder or family of crows.
2	MR. PRICE: Yes.
3	MS. AVELLAR: Murder is what they're called.
4	MR. NUENDEL: Oh, then it is. Okay.
5	MR. PRICE: Yes.
6	MR. NUENDEL: Okay, so it's not a typo.
7	MR. PRICE: No.
8	MR. NUENDEL: So I didn't see anything other than
9	that.
10	MS. AVELLAR: (Inaudible).
11	MR. DELANEY: Yeah, it's a pod of whales, a herd of
12	cows, and a murder of crows.
13	MS. LYONS: A murder of crows.
14	MR. DELANEY: It's an unusual that's a correct
15	terminology.
16	MS. AVELLAR: Although the birdwatcher guy that was
17	on NPR the other day said it really isn't the right
18	word. And I forget what he said the right word really
19	was, but he said people refer to them as a murder of
20	crows. But he had another word for them.
21	MR. NUENDEL: I learned something.
22	MR. DELANEY: Yeah, there must be a great
23	derivation to that word somewhere in the history.

Anyway, so unless someone would like more time to

1	review the minutes because they did come out a little
2	bit late.
3	(No response.)
4	MR. DELANEY: And I don't see anyone requesting
5	more time, so I'll accept a motion to approve as
6	presented.
7	MS. LYONS: I'll move that we approve them.
8	MR. DELANEY: Second?
9	MS. GREEN: Second.
10	MR. DELANEY: All those in favor, signify by saying
11	aye.
12	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
13	MR. DELANEY: Those opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. PRICE: Mr. Chair, while we're on this topic,
16	if I could just ask for clarification then. So frankly,
17	since I've been here, we have not distributed an e-mail
18	with the minutes principally, because, remember, I've
19	been here for a while, and everybody's computer systems
20	ten years ago might have been more cumbersome to
21	download these things. So I was specifically requested
22	by the Commission at that point to put together hard
23	copies to send to you.
24	So whether you want to answer it now or later, I

1	was surprised when the feedback was we'd almost rather
2	be electronic. So it's up to you all how you want to
3	receive them. We can send out the electronic as soon as
4	I receive them from Linda, or we could keep the process
5	the way we've been doing it and just send you a hard
6	copy.
7	MR. DELANEY: Don?
8	MR. NUENDEL: I like both actually because I would
9	take the paper copy and give it to the supervisor from
10	Eastham.
11	MR. PRICE: Okay.
12	MR. NUENDEL: So I would like both, but I think
13	it's great to have an electronic version.
14	MS. AVELLAR: I prefer the hard copy.
15	MR. DELANEY: Lilli?
16	MS. GREEN: I would say also also, I've been
17	taking the hard copy, scanning it in, and sending it to
18	my board of selectmen. So I didn't realize that
19	MR. PRICE: And then after you all approve them,
20	then they're posted on the website. So they are
21	ultimately posted electronically but not until after you
22	have approved them.
23	MR. DELANEY: Any other comments on this? Mark?
24	MR. ROBINSON: Are we taking opinions?

1	MS. LYONS: Yes.
2	MR. ROBINSON: I'd much rather electronic for this
3	particular
4	MR. PRICE: Mary-Jo, can I send out the electronic
5	to the mailing list and then you just choose not to
6	download them?
7	MS. AVELLAR: Sure.
8	MR. PRICE: Okay, we'll do that.
9	MS. AVELLAR: I just have a hard time seeing things
10	online.
11	MR. PRICE: Yes. Me too.
12	MR. DELANEY: Okay, thanks. You know, we are
13	generating a lot of paper in the process. We have to be
14	mindful of that. And that does bring me to my next
15	point.
16	MS. GREEN: Can we opt out of the paper? I mean,
17	the people that want paper can have it, and people that
18	don't I mean, I would prefer to receive all the
19	clippings and the minutes via PDF, electronic.
20	MS. AVELLAR: I don't mind the clippings. It's the
21	minutes that I have the trouble with.
22	MR. PRICE: We'll work we'll see if we can
23	figure that out.
24	MR. DELANEY: Okay. So thank you for the vote on

the minutes and the comment on the discussion of getting the future minutes electronically.

And picking up on Don's comment about we got an awful lot done last meeting, also it takes up a bit of paper. This is pretty impressive, the number of articles and the visibility and the issues that Cape Cod National Seashore is involved in just in a few months' time. So do take advantage of these clippings and thumb through them and refresh your memory of the things that we've been involved in and the Park has been involved in. It's a big agenda, as we all know.

REPORTS OF OFFICERS

MR. DELANEY: Good. So with that behind us, I will turn to Reports -- Item No. 3, Reports of Officers.

And in this case I can report that the Wellfleet
Board of Selectmen have officially nominated Lilli Green
to be the rep to replace Tom and also an individual
named Kathleen Bacon to be the alternate rep.

So congratulations. Thanks for serving.

That process from there goes to Washington. The nominations, as you know, go to Washington. I think the superintendent is processing that now, so that will all become official at some point not too far down the road, I hope.

_	so that's the latest I have for officers.
2	MS. LYONS: I was also reappointed by the board of
3	commissioners on January 4, our reorganizing meeting.
4	MR. DELANEY: Oh, good.
5	MS. LYONS: And they reaffirmed my representation
6	here.
7	MR. DELANEY: Terrific. Okay, that's great.
8	Do we need a letter? Do we need an official
9	letter?
10	MR. PRICE: Yes.
11	MR. DELANEY: Is that in the process?
12	MS. LYONS: Yes. And I also I'm in the process
13	of asking Bill Clark if he wants to remain on as an
14	alternate because he can, even though he is no longer an
15	employee of the county, or does he want to suggest Mike
16	McGuire.
17	MR. DELANEY: You read my mind. That's what I was
18	just trying to figure out.
19	MS. LYONS: Yes, that is the next
20	MR. DELANEY: Because Bill is the other one.
21	MS. LYONS: Yes, that is the next one.
22	MR. DELANEY: Yes.
23	MS. LYONS: He could be. Since he's having a hard
24	time letting go of a lot of his activities with the job,

So that's the latest I have for officers.

1	I think he might appreciate it.
2	MR. DELANEY: Okay. So that's the commission's
3	call.
4	MS. LYONS: And I will get back to George on
5	Wednesday with that.
6	MR. DELANEY: Okay, great.
7	REPORTS OF SUBCOMMITTEES
8	MR. DELANEY: So that moves us to Item No. 4,
9	Reports of Subcommittees.
10	UPDATE OF PILGRIM NUCLEAR PLANT
11	EMERGENCY PLANNING SUBCOMMITTEE
12	MR. DELANEY: Unfortunately, Maureen Burgess is not
13	able to join us today. She's tending to some issues at
14	home, but she did relate to me through me that the
15	issue now and you'll probably read about this in the
16	newspaper is the whole process of decommissioning and
17	storage of the spent cells rods and who's paying for
18	it and whether or not the company, Entergy, will
19	actually have sufficient resources to deal with this.
20	There's a whole lot of activity and letters of support
21	around that, and as you know, we've given I, on your
22	behalf, gave her authority to represent us in a
23	continuing way on this issue. So we've signed on
24	through Maureen to do a couple of letters urging that

1 the sufficient funding through the company be reserved 2 to both decommission and deal with the spent rods. 3 So that's where that stands. MS. LYONS: Can I just give a little bit more 4 5 update? 6 MR. DELANEY: Sheila, sure. 7 MS. LYONS: So there is a committee called PLAC, and it's Pilgrim Legislative Action Committee, I think. 8 So it's a group of very concerned citizens that have 9 10 been following this. It's not Diane Turco. That's a 11 separate, more activist group, but this is really 12 looking at the legislation, and they're really looking at how to craft this legislation and make sure that it 13 14 has the components in it. And they're working I think 15 with Dan in trying to support the bills he's putting in, 16 what is good about his bills, what could be added. there are bills that Senator Wolf has put forward. 17 Some 18 of them have been supported and some (inaudible) from Sarah Peake and others in the Commonwealth. You know, 19 20 you can read it yourself if you go to the legislative 21 records of both of our representatives there. 22 It is really about the decommissioning, how do we 23 best make sure -- I know that he is there to establish

-- one of his recommendations was to establish a fund to

1	start putting money in for decommissioning, its best
2	safe practices. It's going to cost a lot of money. It
3	can't fall on the people that live here like everything
4	I mean, there will be some, but it can't be 99
5	percent of it. So how can we best make sure that it is
6	decommissioned, materials are disposed of properly and
7	safely, and that site can be somehow sanitized for
8	something, a better use.
9	MR. DELANEY: Good, thanks.
10	MS. LYONS: They are meeting today. As a matter of
11	fact, they meet on Mondays at the Harwich I believe
12	it's the first or second Wednesday of second Monday
13	of each month at the Harwich Community Center. And it
14	is PLAC, so if you Google it, you'll probably come up
15	with who's on there and what their agenda is.
16	MS. AVELLAR: How do you spell PLAC?
17	MS. LYONS: P-L-A-C. It's an acronym for Pilgrim
18	Legislative Action Committee or Council, something like
19	that.
20	MR. DELANEY: Good. So continuing with that
21	longtime issue but with progress hopefully.
22	SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT
23	MR. DELANEY: So that brings us to the
24	Superintendent's Report, although as I look down the

1	other end of the table, I don't see the superintendent.
2	So in the meanwhile
3	MS. AVELLAR: He's wandering. I just saw him go
4	that way (indicates).
5	MR. DELANEY: I will ask the committee if they have
6	any other issues that you, the Commission, would like to
7	be raised today. I'll ask you also to be thinking about
8	and I'm sure we'll handle this at the end issues
9	for our next meeting and the date. That's something we
10	always deal with, but that can wait till we get to that
11	part of the agenda.
12	So, George, we just moved to Item No. 5.
13	MR. PRICE: Sure.
14	MR. DELANEY: And I will make one other request of
15	the Commission members to allow for public comment on
16	this issue now rather than wait till the end of the
17	meeting because I know we'll have a few people that will
18	want to say something.
19	So I will be happy to entertain comments and
20	questions from the public at the end of this first
21	report on the shorebird management plan, and then we can
22	go on with the rest of your report, George.
23	MR. PRICE: Sure.
24	MR. DELANEY: So, please, the floor is yours.

SHOREBIRD MANAGEMENT PLAN/ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. PRICE: Basically you all recall the November meeting. Mary Hake gave the review. We're into the public comment. Mary made the determination to extend the public comment period till today practically. We were able to set up the Commission meeting for today up to closure at the same time.

During that meeting, it was requested that I make presentations to members of the board of selectmen that were interested. Specifically we did speak -- Kathy and I and Mary Hake went to Wellfleet, and then Mary and I also went to the Provincetown Board of Selectmen. also have been individually with private citizens who had particular questions, and then as recently as this past Friday, I met with representatives from the hang gliding community as well as the Massachusetts Beach Buggy Association. The Beach Buggy Association had a lot of concerns, and I fully anticipate that I'll be getting more information from them before close of business today. I don't know if there are any representatives here today, but I encouraged them to come here to make comments directly to you all if they were particularly interested.

24 So I understand there are about -- as of last week,

1	there were about 300 comments that had already gone into
2	the PEPC site. So that was the web-based site that
3	people could comment individually. I don't know if that
4	counted the hard copies or the e-mail copies that I've
5	received directly. So basically everything that we get,
6	if it's a hard copy, it gets scanned, and if it's an
7	e-mail separate addition to me, it gets forwarded to the
8	PEPC site. So all of it gets rolled up there.
9	Today I received the comments from the Humane
10	Society U.S., including a CD with 1,647 comments.
11	MS. AVELLAR: How many?
12	MR. PRICE: 1,647 comments on a CD.
13	Sharon, I've never never experienced that
14	before, so I'm assuming
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER (SHARON YOUNG): It's good that it
16	isn't manatees. That was 32,000.
17	MR. PRICE: 32,000? So I understand that this is
18	all legitimate submittal for part of the record, and
19	we'll have to see what that is.
20	I will tell you that the feedback from the towns
21	and individuals has almost exclusively been about the
22	predator management piece, and in some cases, it's
23	specifically just the avicide. In some cases, it's the
24	predator it's the lethal option, period. In other

cases, there has been more concern about the EA in
general. Both the Humane Society U.S. and the

Massachusetts Beach Buggy Association are asking us to
reconsider, to go forward with a full EIS, to start over
basically, and in other cases, it's to extend the
comment period. So we really do have a range of focus
here.

I will tell you anecdotally that I've also received a lot of positive conversations from people who support the Alternative B and the selective predator management program the way it's stated. I have no idea how many of these may or may not have actually submitted their comments to that effect. It's obviously their initiative to do so. So you can see that it really runs the gamut of everything that we have.

People have asked me, all right, what's the next step? Well, basically the next step is that we would have to take a review of all the comments. We basically will tally up, if you will, the comments in the different nature of things. The definition -- every time somebody has asked me whether it was pro or con, make sure the comment is substantial. So generally just a terse "I like the plan. I don't like the plan" isn't particularly substantial. So I'm looking for a

1 substantial piece. 2 Then our staff has the opportunity to review. 3 when I say our staff, I'm talking about not only Mary 4 Hake, Kathy and I, and Bob as the acting division chief, but also we work with the contractor, who we met, who 5 6 you met at that last meeting. We work with our 7 environmental compliance people in the Philadelphia office as well as our attorney. 8 9 So basically the standard that we look towards is basically legal and policy issues related to the goals 10 of the Seashore and related to the goals of the 11 12 shorebird management plan. So that really is what we're taking a look at, and then we would really take a close 13 14 hard look and review and take a look at what our options 15 are. So if it was a straightforward EA -- now, the last 16 EA I was involved with here frankly goes back a while. It was '07, and it had to do frankly with the off-road 17 18 vehicle access in Provincetown. I don't think we've 19 done any EA's since then. 20 We did an EA for Herring Cove Bluff. MS. TEVYAW: 21 MR. PRICE: Herring Cove Bluff, you're right. 22 Well, there's another example. That was, you know, yes, 23 another EA.

So ultimately for an EA to work, you need a ROD or

1	what's called a record of decision.
2	MS. AVELLAR: A what?
3	MR. PRICE: A ROD, record of decision.
4	I think I mentioned this last time; that basically
5	once the package is put together, what the staff would
6	do is consider all the input and then determine is there
7	anything in the input that would cause us to rethink,
8	rework, restate some of the items in the draft EIS.
9	MS. TEVYAW: EA.
10	MR. PRICE: Excuse me, EA. Or EIS. It's actually
11	very similar
12	MS. LYONS: Can you say the acronyms, what those
13	two mean. EA is?
14	MR. PRICE: An EA is an environmental assessment.
15	MS. LYONS: Assessment, okay.
16	MR. PRICE: The EIS is an environmental impact
17	statement.
18	The environmental impact statement by definition is
19	generally a lot more extensive and expensive. When we
20	did the EIS for the hunting, it took six years, an
21	extraordinary amount of time and money. This has taken
22	five years and a lot of money and time. So they're both
23	long-term processes for sure.
24	So if it was straightforward, then we would just

make the additions, the subtractions in that final document that we would believe would be a sufficient response for the comments that came in. meantime, we would also list the types of comments that came in, and we would actually list the responses to all of them generally anyway, but it would be the ones that were -- that were substantive as it related to the legal and the policy issues in the document. Those are the ones that we really would have to wrestle with to determine what our course of action would be. Once that was then put together and by this team, then I would recommend this to be signed by the regional director. The regional director then would consult with the regional compliance people and with the solicitor. So basically then the three of us in essence would be recommending this to be signed, and then once it's signed by the regional director, then it becomes the policy document. Yes, Mary-Jo?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. AVELLAR: My question is, I mean, a lot of people have spoken to me about the plan, mostly in opposition, of course, and I'm kind of disturbed that remarks that aren't what you would call substantive would not be included. I mean, a lot of people just

1	plain have an inbred opposition to the notion of killing
2	animals.
3	MR. PRICE: Right.
4	MS. AVELLAR: Because in order to protect the
5	birds, they just think nature should take its course.
6	And I would be very distressed if people that aren't
7	capable or willing to make extensive remarks wouldn't be
8	included in the notification that they've given us about
9	
10	MR. PRICE: Well, first of all, they'd be included,
11	but basically sometimes you just get postcards that just
12	say it's a you know, or wrote 27 people said no.
13	Well, we would record the 27 people who said no.
14	MS. AVELLAR: Okay.
15	MR. PRICE: We're not throwing anything out.
16	MS. AVELLAR: Oh, okay, fine. That's what I meant.
17	MR. PRICE: It's just that does it have an impact
18	on the
19	MR. ROBINSON: On the response?
20	MR. PRICE: On the response.
21	MS. LYONS: Yeah, it would be, you know, if there
22	is another way or a suggestion or maybe if you did it
23	this way, that is helpful as far as thinking that
24	they're thinking beyond

1	MR. PRICE: Well, very seriously last time it was
2	recommended that we put the exclosures up and put bells
3	on the exclosures to keep the predators away. Obviously
4	if you have birds in the exclosure, bells aren't going
5	it's not a reasonable alternative.
6	MS. LYONS: Right.
7	MS. AVELLAR: Some people just aren't capable for
8	whatever reason of making those kinds of comments, but
9	they have a deep-seated feeling that doing this is
10	wrong. And I wouldn't want that to not factor into
11	whatever decision you make when the time comes.
12	MS. GREEN: Did you say to us earlier that those
13	comments would factor into the decision but you would
14	not give a response, a direct response to those
15	comments?
16	MR. PRICE: If we have 2,000 comments, no, we're
17	not giving individual letters to 2,000 people.
18	MS. GREEN: But the comments about
19	MR. PRICE: Because some people are just wanting to
20	comment on the plan. They want to be recorded.
21	MS. AVELLAR: I know a lot of vegetarians. Put it
22	that way.
23	MS. GREEN: And a lot of people have said to me in
24	Wellfleet that they oppose the avicide. So in other

words, you will be taking a look at that, but you're not responding directly to them; is that correct?

MR. PRICE: Correct. In the documents, we would record X number of people said no lethal action at all. Other people said, "You can do the lethal action, just no avicide." So it would be in the document that we would respond to whatever our response was going to be, but in other cases, the responses, the recommendations, and the analysis that they did was a lot more material. They had the time and the background to really take a look at what we're doing and what did that mean.

I'll give you an example. On Friday there were a number of very specific questions the members of the MBBA had, and it had to do with what was in the documents and what do these signs mean at Hatches Harbor, how are you going to determine — it says in the document under the lethal predator up to 50 animals. Well, how do you measure 50 animals? And if you just have these poison eggs out, how do you know how many birds you've killed? And basically the response was it's one egg would equal theoretically one bird. So that would be a tally as to how it's actually happening out in the field right now. So that was information that they needed to understand the plan in a better way.

1	The paragliders, we were talking about what was
2	said some of you may have seen the article in
3	Saturday's paper because the paragliders were concerned
4	because we were recommending constraining the weeks that
5	the paragliders can actually be out. So we had a
6	conversation to explain that right now paragliding that
7	happens, happens in Wellfleet, and they go on and off of
8	Whitecrest because paragliding in the rest of the
9	Seashore is already legally prohibited. And some of the
10	people who paraglide weren't even aware of that. They
11	know they go to Wellfleet. They know that that's where
12	they go and come from, but they might not have even been
13	aware that they would be prohibited from going off of,
14	say, Nauset Light Beach or something like that.
15	So in some cases, there's more information that's
16	going to be incumbent on us to do individual responses
17	as opposed to just because those would also be a lot
18	more specific than more general ones that we get with
19	these larger categories of avicides and predator
20	management, for example.
21	MR. DELANEY: Question from Mary-Jo?
22	MS. AVELLAR: How do you know when you put the eggs
23	out that, say, a coyote doesn't eat the egg?
24	MR. PRICE: Two things. As it was explained when

1	we did our workshops a while ago, the people from APHIS,
2	which is from the Department of Agriculture, who we
3	would have to contract with to do this work they're
4	the ones that do this work around the state generally.
5	So as it was explained to us, basically if we have an
6	area that's being predated and our people that are in
7	the field, our shorebird management specialists say this
8	area is really being hit by a (inaudible) of crows, for
9	instance. We've seen the footprints. We've observed
10	the crows, what have you. So we would contract these
11	people, and they actually come out. And the first thing
12	they said they'd do is they would put out a decoy egg.
13	So they would put out a regular chicken egg. And what
14	they did is they put an exclosure that didn't have a top
15	to it. So you've seen those exclosures, wire cages?
16	MS. AVELLAR: Oh, so nobody can walk in? They can
17	only fly in?
18	MR. PRICE: Right. And once they saw once there
19	was evidence of a crow coming down to that fake nest and
20	eating that egg, then they would put out the egg that
21	had the avicide in it.
22	MS. AVELLAR: Thank you.
23	MR. DELANEY: Question, Lilli?
24	MS. GREEN: I do have a question since my board of

1	selectmen did vote to send a letter, and the letter was
2	voted on by the board on December 15 to support
3	Alternative B of the shorebird management plan with the
4	exception of the provisions relating to the use of
5	avicide as a lethal deterrent, which the board does not
6	support. And I do have copies if anyone would like a
7	copy of that.
8	I wanted to clarify in the meeting that you will
9	respond to my board of selectmen in Wellfleet.
10	MR. PRICE: Yes.
11	MS. GREEN: And that the second thing I wanted to
12	clarify at the meeting is a question I asked previously,
13	which is, is it in any way possible to as you're looking
14	at the plan, since Provincetown and Wellfleet did make
15	that specific request, to seriously consider if you
16	decide to move forward with an avicide plan to use it as
17	a last resort or to exclude Wellfleet or Provincetown
18	from that particular direction?
19	MR. DELANEY: Can I just back up a sec? Thank you,
20	Lilli.
21	Did you want to finish anything else in terms of
22	your introduction about feedback numbers? We kind of
23	jumped into the question and answer period, but was
24	there anything else you needed to say? Would it be

1	worth even just refreshing our memory of the four
2	options? There's a preferred
3	MR. PRICE: Sure.
4	MR. DELANEY: One sentence each so we can refer to
5	what the board of selectmen in Wellfleet just did?
6	MR. PRICE: Sure. Basically, if you recall, there
7	were four alternatives. This was the outline
8	requirement by the NEPA process, the National
9	Environmental Protection Act process. So basically the
10	Alternative A was to continue doing what we're doing
11	right now.
12	MS. AVELLAR: Which is nothing.
13	MR. PRICE: Well, no, it's everything with
14	shorebird management that we do right now.
15	MS. AVELLAR: That's not what it said. No lethal
16	
17	MR. PRICE: It doesn't include any lethal
18	management.
19	Alternative B actually, yes, it also has the
20	selective predator management piece, but it also had a
21	number of other issues related to shorebird management
22	program. And Mary outlined those for you.
23	And then basically C and D were just more
24	restrictive shorebird management pieces.

1	And C and D also contained the lethal predator?
2	MS. TEVYAW: D did not.
3	MR. PRICE: D did not.
4	MS. TEVYAW: And it's the most restrictive.
5	MR. PRICE: D is the most restrictive.
6	MR. DELANEY: These were just like off limits to
7	everybody. Everything is so you don't have to worry
8	about it.
9	MR. PRICE: Pretty much, right.
10	MR. DELANEY: Okay, thank you.
11	So now we've got a couple of questions already.
12	Are there any other questions from the commissioners?
13	Larry, please?
14	MR. SPAULDING: George, speaking with Orleans,
15	they're pretty pretty they're very intensely
16	concerned about birds and what goes on. The general
17	management plan would apply to Orleans as part of the
18	Seashore, but you haven't really done much in terms of
19	bird management in Orleans because they've had to do
20	their own. Am I right?
21	MR. PRICE: Well, it's not it's not as
22	happenstance as that, Larry, frankly. Orleans and
23	Chatham adopted to manage the Seashore beaches within
24	their towns.

1	MR. SPAULDING: Right.
2	MR. PRICE: So they never turned over management to
3	the National Park Service. So they manage their
4	shorebirds and the rest of their beach activity
5	according to standards. In the case of the shorebird
6	management standards, they are under the same standards
7	we are or guidelines from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
8	Service.
9	MR. SPAULDING: Right.
10	MR. PRICE: The difference is they report to the
11	state Fish and Wildlife Service. So the state Fish and
12	Wildlife Service uses the same standards. So because we
13	both have to adopt the standards of U.S. Fish and
14	Wildlife Service, I have no oversight over Orleans and
15	Chatham because you all are required to do it according
16	to the same standards we are basically.
17	MR. SPAULDING: Thank you. That was really my
18	question.
19	MR. PRICE: Yeah.
20	MR. SPAULDING: Okay.
21	MR. PRICE: And you have had up until fairly
22	recently Scott Melvin, who was the most I think
23	provided a lot of direct oversight to everybody in the
24	state and had a lot to say about our program as well.

1	So no, it does not so to clarify even more, this does
2	not refer to Chatham and Orleans because the National
3	Seashore does not manage those beaches.
4	MR. DELANEY: Thank you.
5	Other questions? Lilli?
6	MS. GREEN: Yes. And I did want to preface my
7	comments by saying thank you very much to you and the
8	other staff members who did come to our board of
9	selectmen meeting in Wellfleet and did a presentation
10	and answered questions. I think it helped clarify a lot
11	of issues for our board of selectmen, the people in the
12	audience, and the people in the public that watched
13	that, but I would like you to talk a little bit about
14	can you exclude Wellfleet or Provincetown from an
15	avicide plan at the beaches.
16	MR. PRICE: Sure. And my response would be I would
17	not see that as a practical opportunity. It would seem
18	to be able to be Seashore-wide or not. Especially we'd
19	have to take a look at where the shorebirds are.
20	One of the items that I'd also want to mention is,
21	remember, this is an allowance. So it's not like we're
22	going out and doing this right away, but I have no
23	ability to do it at all unless we get this allowance.
24	MS. AVELLAR: You mean money?

1 MR. PRICE: No, excuse me. Permission.

2 MS. TEVYAW: Authorization.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. PRICE: Authorization through this process. I'll give you an example. At the Provincetown meeting, Mary Hake talked about the example in Plymouth where the one coyote had the equivalent of a hundred some terns in its system, that it wiped out a tern colony in one night. And the gentleman in Provincetown said, "Well, if you see a coyote doing that, well, then just shoot him." Well, we do not have the legal authority to do that now because we do not do predator management right What -- we can only interfere if the coyote was If it was a human attack, then, yes, harming a human. our rangers would be able to go in and do a public safety piece, but they would not have that option to do that. So we are basically looking for that kind of permission.

The second part of it is, even once we have the authority to do the inside piece, we have to have the money to do that, and we have to have the management in place to have selectively decided, you know, here's where the predation is particularly heavy, this is where it would be the most effective to actually use this particular technique, and therefore, let's try this here

on a pilot basis, et cetera. But, yes, that's where the
money comes into play as to do we even have the money to
do that sort of thing. But in the meantime, we're not
able to exercise that option to try to protect those
birds at all at this point. So this is really looking
for that kind of authority under the EA process.

MR. DELANEY: Okay, question? Sheila?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. LYONS: If I could just bring it one more step. Then by opening up -- by considering different options and allowing them in the allowance, in the authorization, you're not necessarily using them indiscriminately. Okay, now we're just going to -- I think what people have in mind is we're just going to be putting out crows and birds are going to be dropping from the sky. We're going to be -- you know, a coyote's kind of walking along the shore and we're just going to take it out. That there's actually -- is that it would be much more thoughtful in its application and you would have it available to you if you were able -- if you had the opportunity to target and use it. So in a sense it's giving you a flexibility that doesn't -- a flexibility of options. It doesn't mean that they're the first option that you would use, nor an option that would be utilized if you were able to find another way

1	around it.
2	MR. PRICE: Correct, yeah.
3	MS. LYONS: Okay.
4	MR. PRICE: Another example with the Beach Buggy
5	Association folks, something that this group hasn't
6	brought up Mary talked about it was called
7	flexible management. You might remember that from our
8	presentations to the board as well. So flexible
9	management basically means, quote, say less protection.
10	So I'll give you a very specific example of what that
11	means. Several years ago down here at Marconi Beach
12	when you went down the stairs immediately to the right,
13	there was a plover nest that decided to set up. And we
14	actually had to put staff out there every day, and we
15	had to fence the entire beach to the right in order to
16	meet the Fish and Wildlife
17	MR. COOK: The buffer.
18	MR. PRICE: Pardon?
19	MR. COOK: The buffer.
20	MR. PRICE: There's a buffer that was required by
21	the standards from Fish and Wildlife Service as to what
22	you would need to buffer. So it was absolutely insane
23	in July to go down there because you had this crush of
24	humanity to the left-hand side of the beach, and you

1	have this big open space to the right. And we all knew
2	that with that much human activity it was not likely
3	that that was going to be a successful nest anyway, but
4	we didn't have the legal permission to do anything other
5	than that without being called, quote, a take. So we
6	applied to Fish and Wildlife Service at that time for
7	what's the term?
8	MS. TEVYAW: Section 7.
9	MR. COOK: Section 7.
10	MR. PRICE: Section 7, which would allow us to have
11	a little bit more flexibility. So in the current plan,
12	we're actually asking to expand that Section 7 ability
13	from up to five examples of nests where we can use that
14	flexibility.
15	So some of the folks from the Beach Buggy
16	Association were concerned of the wildlife management.
17	Well, you know, again, is it going to be indiscriminate
18	use of this thing? Well, since we actually got that
19	permission now
20	MS. TEVYAW: In 2010.
21	MR. PRICE: in 2010, we've, in fact, only used
22	it once because our staff identified that was the one
23	place that we needed to initiate that particular thing.
24	And what that meant was instead of having the large

buffer, we just put a fence immediately around the nest so that the individual animal was not going to be harmed by walkers, but basically the adult plovers realized this was not the best place to nest and they would relocate.

MR. DELANEY: Mary-Jo?

MS. AVELLAR: That's exactly the problem that people in Provincetown are having. They don't trust the Cape Cod National Seashore to exercise the flexibility to the advantage of the people of Provincetown. And I have been -- and the selectmen voted to keep things the way they are. I think one selectman might have voted to take out a coyote or two, but they're basically in total opposition to the use of the avicide and are worried that beaches like Hatches Harbor may wind up being closed, that people may not even be able to walk their dogs even if they're on a leash. There is concern -- and I did hear from Mark Forest who was meeting with the MBA (sic) on maybe Friday I think.

MR. PRICE: Actually, he was in our meeting.

MS. AVELLAR: Yeah, and concern that there'll be even more beach closures, which is a traditional and historic use in the Town of Provincetown. So I feel like Solomon's baby, you know what I mean? But I have

1	to say that people in Provincetown in spite of the good
2	practices we've seen and the cooperation that we've seen
3	with the current superintendent and even his
4	predecessor, people just plain don't trust the Seashore.
5	And we have probably given more beach to the National
6	Park than any other town in the district, and to lose
7	anything else would be something that Provincetown
8	really would be vehemently upset and opposed to.
9	So the selectmen were grateful, as you say, Lilli,
10	you know, to have had the superintendent and Mary come
11	and make a presentation, but we're just concerned in
12	Provincetown that we're going to lose more. We've
13	already lost a lot, and when we get through with this, I
14	need to know what's going to happen out at the New Beach
15	parking lot, but that's later on.
16	MR. PRICE: One of the things that came under the
17	
18	MR. DELANEY: Go ahead.
19	MR. PRICE: Two, I'm not sure that we made that as
20	clear, but in meeting with the and I guess Mary made
21	it said in their presentation I don't recall, but
22	Mary was just recounting Mary's been doing this for a
23	long time with the National Park Service, and she said,
24	you know, when she first started (inaudible) the

1 plovers, basically the fledging period ended around July 2 4. And with the real pressure from the predation over 3 these years -- because every time a nest is predated, they get renested and renested, and now it's into August. And the August broods we know are not going to 5 6 survive because they don't have enough time to get 7 themselves fat enough with feeding on the beaches in order to make the migrations. So that is an example of 8 9 requiring beach closures to go on longer and longer and 10 longer. And if we had the opportunity to protect the 11 nests from the predators during the period when these 12 birds are hatching and, therefore, the eggs are preserved and/or the chicks have a chance to get to the 13 14 fledging period, then the birds wouldn't have to renest. 15 MS. AVELLAR: So I think that if you could tell the 16 Town of Provincetown -- and I don't know about the other 17 towns -- "We're only going to do this for the birds, you 18 know, during this particular period so that they can fledge and go," then I think everyone would be happy, 19 20 but what they see in the plan is more extensive long-21 term, down the road opportunities to make the Seashore 22 less accessible to the public. And I think that that's 23 the problem Provincetown has with it. 24 MR. PRICE: And my opinion is that that might be

1	the way people are reading into it as opposed to what it
2	actually says.
3	MR. DELANEY: Sheila?
4	MS. LYONS: I think that's true because people just
5	see that this is the plan. They're not going to read
6	the whole plan, so they're reading what their what
7	their papers are telling them, so like the thumbnail
8	sketch that it includes lethal actions, you know, of
9	animals and birds, and it doesn't feel good to anybody.
10	Nobody likes to think that we're going to do the one
11	next and not the other. But if it was really realized
12	and there are facts to back it up, such as you've had
13	authority to do something, you've exercised that
14	authority once because it was the appropriate action at
15	the appropriate time, and that's how you assess these
16	things, I think people would be more willing to
17	understand that this is just giving you the flexibility
18	to act on that particular situation, not "We're just
19	going to do this as an overall practice"
20	MR. PRICE: Right.
21	MS. LYONS: " and it might even hit other
22	birds, but that's too bad."
23	So I think that needs to be stated, and if there is
24	a certain amount of caution or mistrust, you know, we

1	here in that room can help in this room can help
2	reinforce the positive and the factual cases that prove
3	that that's an overreaction and a fear, that it's based
4	on fear.
5	MS. AVELLAR: Well, a guy who does this in
6	Provincetown is a guy named John Thomas, who is a
7	lawyer, and his particular area of expertise was with
8	Native Americans and those kinds of issues. So he read
9	that thing from stem to stern I would say better than
10	I read it and these were all the things that he saw
11	in this plan as being particularly dangerous to
12	Provincetown. So it wasn't just somebody who was
13	worried who said, "Oh, gosh, maybe this can happen."
14	We're talking about a professional person who looked at
15	the plan and advised the selectmen that this is probably
16	not a good thing to do. So that's why they voted the
17	way they did.
18	MR. DELANEY: Thanks.
19	Don?
20	MR. NUENDEL: Just George touched on this last
21	meeting, and we talked about it during lunch today. And
22	I think it's a very important fact that the public
23	should understand personally I think that is the
24	fact that this predator management will shorten the

1	season, the if the birds lose their nest and the
2	adults survive, they're going to start another one.
3	They're going to start another one. And then it goes
4	into August and sometimes September. If the predator
5	management is done correctly, they could have the
6	fledges and be out of there by mid-July or July 4, and
7	that's a positive spin on this whole thing that people
8	may not understand.
9	MS. LYONS: And it's not just a spin. It's a
10	reality.
11	MR. NUENDEL: It's a reality, right.
12	MR. PRICE: It's a reality depending on how much
13	money we have to actually even do it.
14	MS. LYONS: Right, true.
15	MR. PRICE: So it's not going to be broad-based at
16	all.
17	MR. DELANEY: And how many tools you have in the
18	suite of management tools to do it, which is what you're
19	seeking authorization for now.
20	MR. PRICE: Right.
21	MR. DELANEY: I think you're right, and I'd just
22	like to weigh in a little bit. I think that's a good
23	point, Don, and the illustration of the reduced the
24	early restricted access of Marconi last year and the

year before, which might have been able to be managed around, is something that really illustrates why having a broader or more flexible set of management tools would help. And I think the people in Provincetown, if they read that, would realize that might be -- you know, they could see the other side of the coin.

MS. AVELLAR: That's true.

MR. DELANEY: If John had read the other side of the coin. But I think we're moving toward a point where I'm going to ask for public opinion if there's no -- is there more -- I think everyone's had a chance to comment.

Larry? One more?

MR. SPAULDING: Just a question as to whether -basically Orleans and Chatham don't have a stake here
because the issues weren't raised. So we've got four
towns, and we've already heard that two of them have
selectmen who have specific issues that are opposed to
this plan. And my question is, is the Commission going
to take an advisory position or not? And I'm not saying
we should, but that's kind of what we're supposed to do,
if we want to do it.

MR. DELANEY: In the last meeting or the past two meetings, we came to -- at least I thought I read the

Commission's opinion that we did not have strong enough support for one way or the other in any one of the four options. It wasn't strong enough to have me justify appointing a subcommittee that would go into great detail. As you recall, in our past issues with things that have come before us, when we felt there was something really important that we all shared a majority opinion about, the former Dune Shack Subcommittee or the ORV Subcommittee, and that that subcommittee really led the detail necessary to bring back a well-informed opinion or to advise us to then advise the Secretary and the superintendent. I didn't get that sense because, yes, there are some different opinions at the table and the towns have some different opinions, but there wasn't a universal concern on one issue. So we did not form a subcommittee. So we don't have the benefit of a recommendation from a group of us who spent a lot more time, the necessary time needed to really come up with an informed decision. So as of today, we were just going to voice individual recommendations to the superintendent directly and leave it at that. There is always a chance

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

people could put a motion on the table, if you want.

I'm not ruling that out. That's fair for us to do at

2 on, but we had not been heading in that direction up to 3 that point. Does that help, Larry? MR. SPAULDING: Yeah, because there seems to be in 5 6 our general discussion one thing that we seem to be 7 agreeing upon, is that if, in fact, one of the predator control options is adopted, they could soften that by 8 9 the language in the general management plan about how 10 they want to use it. I mean, we just had some discussion that may make some sense in some cases to use 11 12 So it won't look like this is all of a sudden we're going to be out there with shotguns and poison eggs as 13 14 soon as this thing passes, which obviously is not what 15 George intends to do. And I think he can soften that by 16 having language in the actual plan when they decide it, but I think it would be better off for all of us 17 18 concerned, and that may be a position that we could take as a commission as opposed to saying we're in favor of a 19 20 particular A, B, C, or D. 21 MR. ROBINSON: Is it a question of last resort? Ιs 22 that what you're saying? That the lethal management would be an action of last resort? 23 24 MR. SPAULDING: No. No, that's more -- that's kind

this point and give any specific recommendation to vote

1	of taking a position on the lethal management. What I'm
2	saying is that there would be more that they
3	understand that it's to provide flexibility only when
4	really necessary. I wouldn't use last resort because I
5	don't think that
6	MS. LYONS: I'd say it's more targeted. It's a
7	targeted it's targeted and made specific to the
8	condition that you're being presented with. It's not,
9	"Okay, it's going to be the season. Let's start
10	knocking everybody out of the way so that these birds
11	can" you know, now you see that there's been a
12	nest that has you have facts, factual data showing
13	that there is this one coyote that's going down and
14	killing off every time that it's
15	MR. PRICE: And that's not hypothetical.
16	MS. LYONS: Right.
17	MR. PRICE: I mean, two years in a row we've had
18	the entire tern colony wiped out at Head of the Meadow
19	in Truro.
20	MS. LYONS: Right. I mean, we watched that film
21	ourselves of one of the night predators.
22	MR. DELANEY: And Larry has put on the table I
23	think an interesting idea that has some commonality
24	among all of the various towns, which is to use if

proceeding forward the Park is to ever use a predator
control technique, it be done in a very targeted,

prudent -- whatever adjective's way.

MR. SPAULDING: Uh-huh.

MR. DELANEY: I'm trying to fashion some language.

MS. LYONS: Well, what you want to do -- you have a

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

mandate to protect a threatened species, and we are all in support of that. Everybody wants to protect this little species. And then you also have to have a builtin there as the respect and wanting to give the ability to the local people to be able to carry out their recreational and cultural traditions. And by not being able to manage one in a more targeted, specific, and flexible way with tools that could be used, to have the ability to use tools in those specific ways, you're not accomplishing either thing. You're prolonging the season, and you're not protecting the birds and the outcome. So we'll always have this sort of endangered population whose numbers are not being able to grow because of the restrictions of the toolbox, of the options, which is then, therefore, creating more angst because people are not being able to recreate the way they would like to, and those months are getting longer.

So there is a balance here, and people have to

1	understand that you're not just trying to do one plan.
2	But it's a flexible management. It's a lot like the
3	wastewater. I mean, we're not just going to we're
4	not going to store everything. We can do specific
5	remediation in specific areas as it dictates and only
6	when it dictates, and we can be flexible.
7	MR. DELANEY: Is that a motion? Is that a long
8	motion you're putting in?
9	MS. LYONS: It's a long way of assessing the whole
10	story.
11	MR. DELANEY: Let me ask the superintendent to
12	respond.
13	MR. PRICE: If you don't mind, just as a point of
14	clarification, the advantages that I've talked about
15	with reducing the number of renesting and by
16	implementing these management actions, the access to the
17	beach would be an ancillary benefit. That's not why we
18	would do that.
19	MS. LYONS: Right.
20	MR. PRICE: Or we wouldn't be doing this to provide
21	more access. We'd be doing it to get the birds to
22	successfully fledge, to become more successful. We
23	believe the ancillary benefit would be more open access
24	down the road, but I want to be very clear. So that's

1 why we would be taking these actions.

2 MR. DELANEY: That's fair.

Okay, so let me do this. We'll take a couple more questions from the commissioners. Larry has not an official motion on the table, but I think it might result in, with a little bit more deliberation, a motion that we would offer some advice on this. And then I'm going to go to the public because I think before we vote on anything, we should hear what the public has to say, and then we can come back in and fashion our recommendation.

Mark?

MR. ROBINSON: That's what I was going to mention, but I also had a question about Orleans and Chatham's recent experience designing their plan and heavy involvement from the state fish and game people, and I'm just wondering if those state staff biologists had input into this plan or conferred with or is there something that they can bring to the table. Have they commented on the plan, if you know?

MR. COOK: I know that there's been a lot of involvement with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service over the years, involved in this and some with the state. I doubt if it was as intense as with the Town of Orleans

1	because this park has a larger in-house staff to address
2	these types of things, but definitely there has been
3	ongoing coordination between (inaudible) with both the
4	state and federal entities.
5	MR. ROBINSON: Do you know if the state fish and
6	game has commented on it?
7	MR. COOK: I don't know specifically.
8	MR. PRICE: I don't know specifically either, but
9	remember, the towns are reporting to the state. We're
10	reporting to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. So
11	we've been dealing with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
12	on these things as well.
13	MR. ROBINSON: I'm just saying that there is a
14	level of expertise at the state level as well.
15	MR. DELANEY: Okay, Joe is next.
16	MR. CRAIG: Am I understanding it right that
17	Orleans and Chatham eventually will have to come under
18	the same same management plan because it will be
19	different?
20	MR. PRICE: Yes.
21	MR. CRAIG: It will never be the same? The state
22	will never have the same plan?
23	MR. PRICE: Not unless you all adopt it, no. The
24	responsible remember, you all your towns are

1	responsible to be managing your areas up to the
2	standards.
3	MR. COOK: George?
4	MR. DELANEY: More comment on that point? Bob?
5	MR. COOK: I was just going to another point
6	that came to mind in reference to Mark's question, there
7	was an article in the Cape Codder back in November about
8	the state releasing its shorebird habitat conservation
9	plan, and I recall a big feature of that article was
10	that plan pointing out the need for more predator
11	management activities as an element of that plan. So I
12	just wanted to make you aware that that's kind of where
13	the state Division of Fisheries and Wildlife is thinking
14	in terms of analyzing what limiting factors in the
15	shorebird productivity are and what needs to be done to
16	improve that.
17	MR. DELANEY: Thank you.
18	Joe, you were happy with your response?
19	MR. CRAIG: (Nods.)
20	MR. DELANEY: Okay, Lilli?
21	MS. GREEN: Thanks, Rich.
22	So I wanted to clarify. From my understanding of
23	what happened at the board of selectmen meeting in
24	Wellfleet, recreation was not a motivating factor. It

1 was purely a concern about the crows and how the crows 2 would be treated and other birds and that I would -- I 3 appreciate what Larry has put on the table, and I would concur with what he said. However, I would hope that George and the other members of the Park that are 5 6 involved might look at other situations, other EA's and 7 see if there were any exclusions that could be made for Wellfleet and Provincetown since the boards of selectmen 8 9 have asked directly these questions and the people from 10 these towns have expressed such a concern about 11 specifically the avicides. 12 MR. DELANEY: Thank you. I don't know if that's a part of the process already, but that's something that 13 14 could be looked into. 15 So could I -- I'm going to go to the public next, and, Sheila, unless you have something new to ask about 16 17 at this point. 18 MS. LYONS: No. MR. DELANEY: So let us deliberate. Larry is 19 20 trying to -- is coming up with a little bit of language 21 so we can come back and revisit this discussion again 22 right now, but I'll suspend our discussions among the 23 commissioners, and I will open the floor for public 24 comment.

1	Please identify yourself. Would anyone like to
2	speak? Yes? Over here (indicates).
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. HELEN MIRANDA WILSON): For
4	those of you who don't know me, I'm Helen Miranda
5	Wilson. I was involved in this whole issue from the
6	beginning in 2010. And I'm someone who's been
7	protecting nesting shorebirds myself personally since
8	before a lot of you even lived on the Cape. I grew up
9	here, okay? So piping plovers are important. Terns are
10	important. Protecting them from us is important, but
11	I'd like to I'm on the selectboard now in Wellfleet,
12	and I've read a lot of science on this. I've read
13	everything Mary Hake has written about the plovers
14	that's available for someone who's not a scientist. I
15	care about this very much. I've researched this.
16	That's my background. That's the short version. You
17	don't want the long version.
18	I strongly urge you not to do a general vote for
19	all the towns. The towns have different issues.
20	Wellfleet, for example, has a few crows that are
21	predating, that are eating they're not predating. We
22	predate. We do sport hunting. We do sport fishing.
23	They're hunting to feed themselves. It's not
24	recreation. They're surviving. They're part of this

1 huge web that we live in, the natural world, most of 2 which is not a constituency -- and, Mary-Jo, I'm sorry 3 I'm standing behind you. MS. AVELLAR: That's okay. Stay, Helen. 4 5 AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): -- that will not show up at this meeting or any meeting, will not vote, 6 7 and will not speak. They simply die in the wild having been poisoned, if that happens. 8 9 I would not like to see the Park, which I feel is generally a very responsible agency in this neck of the 10 11 woods, having the discretion to use avicides 12 selectively, blanket permission. It would be nice if they had to go to their local conservation commissions. 13 14 By the way, in Wellfleet our conservation commission 15 doesn't think it's good work. They don't want the Park 16 to be able to use avicides. I think there are many 17 tools in the Park's arsenal to protect these birds, and 18 a lot of them work. Marconi Beach -- I go to the beaches. My boyfriend 19 20 goes there all the time, every day, sometimes in the 21 It's a huge beach. If you can't use it to the summer.

right, you just spread out to the left, right?

beaches are -- we have so many beaches. People can use

them at will. They could even be closed more than they

22

23

are to protect the shorebirds, and it would still work.

Sure, some people would get whiny, but we have resources

beyond belief here, and people get used to it.

I have a question. The option of keeping ORVs away from nesting areas during nesting season was dismissed. I'd like to know why because Wellfleet has had the courage to say no ORVs on our beaches at any time except for the ones allowed by the Park. You know, the Park ORV -- it's not an ORV. It's got chubby tires. It could go on patrol. Wellfleet doesn't allow any ORVs. We had the courage to do that. It's possible. And I'm not saying that should happen like in Provincetown, but during nesting season it would be good if it could. That's a question. Why was it dismissed out of hand in every locality?

MR. PRICE: I'm confused, Helen, as to what you're referring to exactly. Our ORV corridor has about the most prescriptive management oversight you can imagine that is sometimes impacted on a daily basis. So I don't know how you had read that we would now allow the ORVs. I think what the language talks about is, first of all, there's nothing in the present document that changes the negotiated rulemaking of the 1990s. So the corridor is going to be managed at least under all of those

1	prescriptions. We did not reopen the negotiated
2	rulemaking. So that still applies. So perhaps we need
3	to get more specific.
4	MR. DELANEY: Does Option 4 address this? Option 4
5	would basically say no ORVs anywhere should that be a
6	choice, right?
7	MR. PRICE: I think that was out there.
8	MR. DELANEY: Excuse me. It hasn't been dismissed.
9	It's out there, but it's not the preferred option. But
10	the second document
11	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Yeah, there's a
12	little sort of spreadsheet kind of thing at the end that
13	says these options were dismissed. That's why it was a
14	question. I didn't fully understand that.
15	MR. PRICE: Oh, I see. So we dismissed the
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Overall.
17	MR. PRICE: option of not
18	MS. LYONS: Closing.
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Everything.
20	MR. PRICE: Of not closing. Okay, now I
21	understand.
22	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): It was just a
23	clarification.
24	MR. DELANEY: Yes, now he gets it.

1	MR. PRICE: It dismissed the option of eliminating
2	ORVs. We were not reopening the negotiated rulemaking.
3	We were accepting that all off-road vehicle use is an
4	appropriate use for Cape Cod National Seashore.
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): With restrictions?
6	MR. PRICE: With restrictions.
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Thank you for
8	clarifying.
9	MR. PRICE: I misunderstood what you said. So yes,
10	with restrictions it was not on the table to eliminate
11	ORV use.
12	MR. DELANEY: Okay, thank you.
13	Other comments from the public? Sharon?
14	AUDIENCE MEMBER (SHARON YOUNG): I'm Sharon Young,
15	and I'm the marine issues field director for the Humane
16	Society of the United States. I'm a researcher by
17	background, and my publications are all in the field of
18	marine ecology. That's my background.
19	We submitted extensive comments, but I wanted
20	I'm just going to read just a paragraph that summarizes
21	at the end, but before I do that, I just want to say
22	that it's been an interesting discussion for me to
23	listen to because I would expect this body is far more
24	informed of what is in the plan than the public. It's

1 nice to hear that somebody on the board has read the 2 entire plan, as I have too. There are probably not many 3 of us. But there's a lot of verbiage in there, but unfortunately, there's not a lot of information on many 4 of the things that are of concern to people, which is 5 why the questions that you folks are raising are coming 6 7 You don't understand how the exclosures are being up. done or how the animals are going to be selected because 8 9 it's not specified in here. And one of the important 10 parts of an environmental assessment and an 11 environmental impact statement is that you have to 12 specify what you're going to do, what its effect is going to be in a quantitative sense, and allow the 13 14 public a full understanding of how all of that is going 15 to work together, but if you don't specify -- and this 16 plan doesn't -- how do you decide what is a threshold by which a predator will be judged and then need to be 17 18 taken out or that a nest is preferentially selected for protection? None of that is in there, so it's very 19 20 difficult for anyone in the public -- and I'm a very 21 informed member of the public -- to try to figure out 22 what exactly is being proposed. 23 With regard to consistency with the state, I want 24 to point out that the plan is actually proposing to use

whole body traps, which are illegal in the state of

Massachusetts. I understand this is federal property,

but they were banned in this state back in the '90s. So

there's a certain amount of disconnect as well.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I also wanted to mention that there's been a lot of suggestion about, well, could you do Alternative B but just not use the avicide? And again, there's a 50-predator cap of the number that could be killed without a specification of the certain percentage of crows versus mammals versus (inaudible). So Alternative B basically is saying we are going to consider sacrificing up to five nests per year, and in exchange for doing that, because that would result from increased public access -- in exchange for doing that, we're going to compensate for the loss of any of those birds by trying to reduce the amount of predation that is occurring as a balance. So if you're saying, "We want to do Alternative B. We just don't want to do some of this predator control," then that requires a different option because an environmental assessment by law has to provide a full analysis of the cost and benefit of every action because this never considered the idea that you could do Alternative B and just not do certain types of predator control. That's not analyzed, and therefore,

under the National Environmental Policy Act, it's really something the Seashore can't do. These are the alternatives that were presented. Those are the alternatives that were considered.

If an alternative has been thoroughly analyzed in this park and some other ones have been thoroughly analyzed there and you can legitimately hybridize them, that's a potential option, but to say we want Option B but we just don't want the avicide used or the certain predator control things used, you've taken away part of what the balance was that was given for the potentially sacrificed nest. And if the Seashore says, "Okay, well, then we wouldn't be killing birds doing that. We'll just sacrifice fewer nests," again, that isn't analyzed either. The only analysis done is in the 2010 biological opinion, which would have to be redone if you want to increase the amount of nest sacrifices because you haven't considered that. So that's a legal background that the Seashore has to consider.

But in the statement I was going to read, we submitted 17 pages of comments and 70 footnotes maybe because all I do is like to read federal documents and write apparently. I used to have really good vision, by the way. But anyway, the summary I would say is:

(Reading) We have noted throughout the comments the vaque language related to goals, metrics, and methods that will severely hamper the ability of the public to properly weigh the benefits and detriments of the many lethal and nonlethal management methods proposed. Nonlethal measures designed to support shorebird recovery are inappropriately limited, and we'd suggest alternatives that would reduce provisioning of predators and other things that may be contributing to increased predator populations. Environmental impacts of both the avicide and other aspects of lethal management program are inadequately specified and analyzed. Further, the Seashore dramatically limited both the public forum for discussion of the plan and scheduled a timing of a comment period on the proposals in a manner that has limited the broader public's awareness of and ability to comment on the controversial plan.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As we have stated in our comments, in light of the proposed -- I'm sorry -- in light of the manifest failure to provide specific information necessary to inform understanding of what is proposed and the likely effects on shorebird staging recovery and given the controversial nature of the plan and the scope of the impacts of the plan, not all of which were adequately

1	analyzed, the Seashore should have undertaken a
2	comprehensive EIS rather than an EA. No new management
3	program should proceed until such time as the Seashore
4	remedies its deficiencies.
5	And if people want I was going to give the chair
6	a copy of the comments, and George already has them, but
7	if folks want copies of what I said specifically, I'm
8	happy to provide them. I'll be here to the end of the
9	meeting, and just if you give me your e-mail address,
10	I'll e-mail them to you.
11	MR. DELANEY: Okay, thank you.
12	Any other members of the public who wish to speak?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. DELANEY: Okay, I'm going to bring the
15	conversation back to the commissioners.
16	You've heard a couple of comments from outside to
17	augment our discussion and our understanding. We left
18	off our discussion thinking that perhaps at a minimum we
19	could address kind of a common concern about the
20	wholesale use or widespread use of an avicide or
21	predator control and maybe even want to encourage the
22	Park to whatever option they choose going forward use
23	that very judiciously in a targeted way and very
24	prudently. We can still address that issue, or we can

1 talk about others. 2 Mark? 3 MR. ROBINSON: I like that. I think it frames the issues well. I think that managers of land with 4 multiple resources and uses need as many tools in their 5 6 toolbox to employ to manage the property appropriately. 7 I think it's more of a philosophical thing for many people in terms of this particular type of management, 8 but I think that we should have some faith in the 9 10 discretion employed by managers to use it wisely since we're giving them -- since we would be recommending they 11 12 have these tools, that they understand that they're to 13 be used wisely. 14 The only other aside I would say is that I really 15 believe that Truro crows and Eastham crows are just as 16 valuable as Wellfleet or Provincetown crows. MR. DELANEY: Just let me underline. We've had a 17 18 discussion about should the towns be (inaudible) in this If you're talking about ecosystems and birds, 19 20 murders of crows and plovers, crows, it really doesn't 21 do anyone a good service to break up the territory. So 22 that's why we probably couldn't get to a universal, a 23 common opinion among us because some of the towns have a different opinion.

1 All right, we're going to go to Mary-Jo and then 2 Lilli. 3 MS. AVELLAR: How long is it going to take you, Superintendent, to come up with a recommendation based 4 on -- is there a time frame here? 5 MR. PRICE: At this point I do not know. 6 The 7 comment was made about the timing of it. The timing of it was related to our ability to produce this document 8 9 in a professional manner. As you all know, the rollout 10 of this has been delayed, delayed, delayed. 11 I would also say that my experience here on Cape 12 Cod is if we ever distributed this in June or July, we would have gotten the same comments at that time of 13 14 year. If we did spring or fall, that would have been a 15 bad time of year. Obviously the holidays are not a good 16 time of year. That was why we did the extension, number 17 one. 18 Number two, if we have 2,000 comments and we have 19 to give a professional review and then get into a dialogue as to what -- I'm absolutely confident that 20 21 what we've gotten, the many pages from the U.S. -- the 22 Humane Society U.S. is going to be substantive both on 23 legal and policy, and I'm assuming (inaudible). I'm

assuming the Mass. Beach Buggy Association is going to

1	as well. It's going to take us time to deliberate that,
2	and what I don't know is how this relates to the
3	contractor that we have on board. If you remember
4	Mary's optimistic PowerPoint, we thought by January or
5	February we'd have a decision. That's not going to
6	happen.
7	MR. DELANEY: Okay.
8	MS. AVELLAR: Can I just finish?
9	MR. DELANEY: Just one quick follow-up.
10	MS. AVELLAR: Just lastly oh, God, I just lost
11	my train of thought. Oh, in deference to what Sheila
12	had mentioned earlier, recreation is important to the
13	Town of Provincetown because we probably have the most
14	active beach buggy corridor in the district, and it gets
15	really closed, and it's really restricted. So it is
16	very important to my town at least, which is I kind of
17	like Lilli's idea of each town being looked at
18	separately because any more closures other than for the
19	sake of the birds at the fledge time would be totally
20	unacceptable.
21	So I don't think I can vote on anything right now
22	because we don't have a time frame, we don't have a
23	final document, and right now I just don't feel
24	comfortable one way or the other, although I appreciate

1	what Mark had to say.
2	MR. DELANEY: Lilli?
3	MS. GREEN: And I'm coming to that opinion myself
4	too, that while I really appreciate what Larry has put
5	forth, I think that there are so many issues with my
6	town. I know my crows in Wellfleet are not different
7	than the crows in Eastham or Truro.
8	MR. ROBINSON: All crows matter.
9	MS. AVELLAR: Crows are smart.
10	(Laughter.)
11	MS. GREEN: And I do believe they do, but if the
12	Park were to actively come to the conclusion that they
13	were using that, I was hoping that they could try
14	something if they needed to in another town and see if
15	it works or doesn't work, but I understand that there
16	could be issues there. And given the comment from the
17	public that they're not sure if you can do Option B
18	without the avicide, given the EA statement as is, I'm
19	not so inclined to vote on this today either.
20	MR. DELANEY: Okay. Sheila, last comment?
21	MS. LYONS: I'm just going to throw this in. So
22	really what I was saying and thank you for bringing
23	it in, Mary-Jo is that across the National Seashore
24	these are the varied interests. I know that Wellfleet

their priority is the crows. Somebody else has the priority that they can drive their vehicle across whenever they want. So everybody has an interest, you know, a self-interest, and we all have a shared interest in that we want to be able to maintain these things for everyone while we protect endangered species and help it thrive so that maybe somewhere down the road we don't have to be protecting it so much and these things will be enjoyed at a greater scale and degree without everybody feeling they were taking something out just to do it.

So I do think -- and I heed what you said about the Humane Society. I think a lot of it is in the implementation. If you're adopting this, how is it used? And that is what people don't under-- -- I think is the missing piece. Even when you do read it, it doesn't then go into the implementation of the policies and how it's -- what are the flags? What are the red flags? Okay, we have this, so now we see there's evidence here. What are the steps that create a certain reaction? You know, the policies and the procedures that are followed to trigger certain actions? And I think that if those were more clear and discussed, people would feel better that these are just not going

1	to be blanket uses or everyday you know, the go-to
2	solution when it doesn't necessarily have to be. That
3	they will be factored before anything is implemented,
4	but these are the things that we can use under these
5	conditions.
6	MR. DELANEY: Thanks.
7	Larry?
8	MR. SPAULDING: George, is this kind of our last
9	chance to make a comment if we're going to implement it?
10	It is, isn't it?
11	MR. PRICE: Yes.
12	MR. SPAULDING: Well, I think it's a mistake not to
13	say anything. I'll tell you what I put together.
14	The following: If predator management is adopted
15	in the Seashore management plan, it would be implemented
16	in a specific targeted situation with prudence to
17	accomplish the goal of shorebird protection.
18	That doesn't say we're in favor of predator
19	management, but it tries to let the Seashore know that
20	this is kind of an open-ended thing. I don't suggest
21	that George use it that way, but at least we'd be
22	saying, "If you're going to do this, it's really got to
23	be a specific situation. You're going to have to have a
24	reason. You've got to target it." And I just think to

1	say nothing is a big mistake.
2	MS. LYONS: I agree.
3	MR. DELANEY: Thank you, Larry. Would you like to
4	make that a motion?
5	MR. SPAULDING: I can make that a motion.
6	MR. NUENDEL: I'll second that.
7	MR. DELANEY: So we have a motion on the table, and
8	we have a second. Both towns have a little bit of time
9	to think about it. It's not I think, as Larry said
10	correctly, it's a cautionary generic kind of statement
11	about one particular aspect of the plan. It's not
12	choosing one alternative or the other. It expresses
13	what I heard around the table I think pretty well from
14	all of us, aside from the other ancillary positions the
15	towns have taken, and I think it would be helpful. It
16	certainly would put us on record as being very, very
17	cautious about this.
18	But let's have some discussion on the motion.
19	Mary-Jo?
20	MS. AVELLAR: My problem with it is and I thank
21	you, Larry, for the motion is the past two
22	superintendents have been very, very, very good to work
23	with in Provincetown, but we've had superintendents that
24	have been openly hostile to Provincetown. And so I feel

1	that if I vote for this, that down the road when we
2	don't have a George Price or a Maria there may be
3	somebody that may become a problem again for my town.
4	So I'm going to have to respectfully vote no. I just
5	have to I represent the people of Provincetown, and I
6	have to vote their conscience, I think.
7	MR. DELANEY: Okay.
8	MR. SPAULDING: The thing that's important is that
9	the motion takes no position.
10	MS. AVELLAR: I know.
11	MR. SPAULDING: I may be personally opposed to
12	predator management and some of the things.
13	MS. AVELLAR: I know.
14	MR. SPAULDING: But I just feel that if we're
15	silent, we haven't given them anything. If we had at
16	least a consensus or some of you may feel you have to
17	vote no, but at least we've got something that we can
18	put on the record.
19	MS. LYONS: Right, and we're saying that we support
20	management in itself, that these things have to be
21	managed so that everybody's interest is protected. So
22	if this
23	MR. ROBINSON: There's got to be more flexibility.
24	MS. LYONS: And there's flexibility. So this

1	builds in the ability to be flexible, but we're also
2	stating we also should caution you that these should be
3	you know, the procedures should be clearly defined on
4	what triggers what and under what circumstances actions
5	are taken and what those actions are. And I think
6	that's all it's basically stating because it's a tough
7	issue. I mean, everybody has their point of view and
8	yet
9	MR. DELANEY: Okay, further discussion on the
10	motion? Lilli?
11	MS. GREEN: Thank you.
12	So while I personally understand wildlife
13	management and I believe there is not a soul in
14	Wellfleet that doesn't believe in protecting the
15	shorebirds, I think I would have to abstain from this
16	vote because I do represent Wellfleet.
17	MR. DELANEY: Okay, that's your prerogative for
18	both towns, but we do have a motion on the table. And
19	we can entertain discussion, and then we can vote yes,
20	no, or abstention. So you have an easy option on that
21	abstention.
22	Any other discussion on this motion?
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. YOUNG): Could you restate the
24	motion?

1	MR. DELANEY: Yes, please, Larry.
2	MR. SPAULDING: If predator management is adopted
3	in the Seashore management plan, it would be implemented
4	in a specific targeted situation with prudence to
5	accomplish the goal of shorebird protection.
6	MR. DELANEY: Did you hear that, Sharon?
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. YOUNG): (Nods.)
8	MR. DELANEY: So that's the motion. Is there a
9	second?
10	MR. NUENDEL: Second.
11	MR. DELANEY: Second from Don.
12	Any other discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. DELANEY: Hearing none, all those in favor
15	signify by saying aye.
16	MR. SPAULDING: Aye.
17	MR. NUENDEL: Aye.
18	MR. ROBINSON: Aye.
19	MS. LYONS: Aye.
20	MR. DELANEY: Those opposed?
21	MS. AVELLAR: Opposed.
22	MR. CRAIG: Opposed.
23	MR. DELANEY: Two opposed.
24	MS. AVELLAR: And one abstention.

1	MR. DELANEY: And one abstention.
2	I didn't think you were going to vote on this.
3	MS. AVELLAR: No, I was going to vote no. I have
4	to represent the people of Provincetown.
5	MR. DELANEY: So we have George, we just voted.
6	Just for the superintendent's benefit, let's re-
7	read that, please, Larry, one more time.
8	MR. SPAULDING: Sure. If predator management is
9	adopted in the Seashore management plan, it would be
10	implemented in a specific targeted situation with
11	prudence to accomplish the goal of shorebird protection.
12	MR. DELANEY: And we voted
13	MS. LYONS: Four.
14	MR. DELANEY: four in favor, two opposed, and
15	one abstention.
16	MR. ROBINSON: The chair is not voting? You don't
17	vote?
18	MR. DELANEY: I generally vote if there's a close
19	tie, but I didn't vote in this one.
20	So there is advice to you and the Park Service as
21	to how to implement this plan.
22	MR. PRICE: So if we could then, Larry, maybe
23	Lauren can actually get the language or if you want to
24	make sure we get it so we'll officially have it

1	submitted as part of the record.
2	MR. ROBINSON: 2,001 comments.
3	MS. AVELLAR: Well, who knows what might come in
4	before the end of the day even, huh?
5	MR. PRICE: That's right.
6	MR. DELANEY: It is obviously, like most of the
7	management issues here in the Park, a great challenge,
8	very complicated in terms of the ecology, the emotions,
9	the various towns, the political, social. It's always a
10	complicated one. I think this is at least a good
11	position for us, an advice recommendation to offer. I
12	think it reflects a lot of what we've all heard back in
13	our towns and among the public. Particularly I think
14	starting this discussion this afternoon the issue of
15	avicide and avicide as a predator control is the first
16	thing that came out of almost everybody's mouth. So
17	we'll try to deal with that I think in a reasonable,
18	well-thought-out way, and we'll see what happens from
19	here on out.
20	MR. PRICE: One of the things, if I can, Mr. Chair,
21	so this came up on Friday with the Beach Buggy
22	Association. Unlike this group where we meet on a
23	regular basis, the Beach Buggy Association only meet
24	very intermittently, and to know that that particular

group had so many concerns beyond just the ORV corridor,

I really wanted to talk to them about setting up more

opportunities to have this dialogue.

Mary-Jo recited the, quote, distrust towards the National Park Service because of a long history in the relationship with the town, and the only way I can think of that will help alleviate some of this is just continued dialogue. And one of the things I might ask you all as we move forward because this particular group -- Sharon's correct -- is that this group probably is more informed on the whole shorebird management program than any group because you've gotten intimate updates over time, and yet maybe we haven't been all that successful in delving down into the details.

So I would just suggest that as we move along, if there are topics that can be presented to you all, if we can have more dialogue about different pieces to make the communication more clear, I'd like just to be able to figure out how to do that. For instance, it seems like there are a couple of things that we even said today which were examples to you all of us being a little bit more deliberate in our thoughtful exercise of what we have available to us. Well, I just take that for granted that you all assume that's what we're doing,

1	but obviously some of the constituents, people you talk
2	to do not feel that way, that it could be a lot more
3	indiscriminate or not thoughtful or somehow more
4	widespread and, therefore, not competent. And that's
5	the farthest thing that actually happens in this park,
6	I'll tell you, with our professional staff.
7	So if there are ways for us to put that out on the
8	table a little bit more, I certainly would appreciate
9	that.
10	MS. AVELLAR: We don't have any problem with you,
11	George. With some of your predecessors.
12	MR. SPAULDING: When you were out of the room, some
13	comments were made, "We're not worried about George, but
14	we don't know what's coming next." That wasn't my
15	comment.
16	MR. DELANEY: Thank you for that
17	(Laughter.)
18	MR. DELANEY: George, thank you for that offer, and
19	that is in the spirit that we bring to this table as
20	well. And I will say for the record with you here, your
21	administration has gone to great lengths to work with
22	every town, including Provincetown, as well as the rest
23	of us, and I hope that is turning the corner in all of

our towns and making our various constituencies

24

1 understand that there is a partnership here and there 2 are benefits, just not losses and detriments. There are 3 benefits that each town has approved because there is a Cape Cod National Seashore no matter who the superintendent is, but particularly, again, you've had 5 6 so many. 7 So we accept your -- on behalf of the commissioners, I accept your offer to continue 8 9 increasing that and doing more dialogue. I will say as 10 chair now had we decided -- had I decided with your assistance to go into the level of detail that's 11 12 necessary to really understand this document, we would have formed a subcommittee. That subcommittee would 13 14 have had the assignment of spending hours and hours and 15 hours, like Sharon and others do, to really delve into 16 the details and come back with some of it. Even then it wouldn't have guaranteed that we would have had a 17 18 unanimous position on anything, but at least that's what it takes. 19 20 MS. LYONS: It does. 21 MR. DELANEY: If we can find other techniques like 22 that where maybe it's at the end of each of our future 23 Advisory Commission meetings, a focused speaker with 24 detailed discussion on an emerging topic -- I think

1	that's what you're kind of suggesting that would be
2	terrific. We'd appreciate it.
3	So last comment on this, and I'm going to move on.
4	I'm going to give you the gavel.
5	MS. LYONS: I was just going to say there is an
6	historical narrative that sort of came out of how you
7	have used different abilities, different authorizations,
8	and this is the so by stating how practices have been
9	used in the past, these are new practices being
10	suggested. They would follow that same that needs to
11	be sort of upfront with people so that they just don't
12	look at this as a plan and just drop down in a certain
13	time and place and now we're going to start doing it
14	this way.
15	MR. PRICE: Right.
16	MS. LYONS: And I think that's helpful to the
17	dialogue.
18	MR. PRICE: Okay, Mr. Chair, I know you're going to
19	have to leave. You're the one that requested that I do
20	an update on drone policy.
21	MR. DELANEY: Yes.
22	MR. PRICE: Would you like me to save that for the
23	next meeting?
24	MR. DELANEY: I do have to run. It's a matter of

1	catching an airplane.
2	MR. ROBINSON: Oh, they'll wait for you.
3	(Laughter.)
4	MR. DELANEY: Yeah.
5	MS. LYONS: They're always late anyway.
6	MR. DELANEY: Why don't you I have a feeling
7	almost as complex and almost as challenging as the one
8	we just dealt with.
9	MS. AVELLAR: For me it's not.
10	MR. DELANEY: This is going to be a new emerging
11	issue.
12	I'm going to ask Sheila to take over as vice chair
13	for the time being.
14	MS. LYONS: Okay.
15	MR. DELANEY: Here's the agenda and here's your
16	gavel.
17	But carry on. Thank you. Sorry I have to run.
18	MS. LYONS: Have a safe trip.
19	MR. DELANEY: Thank you.
20	MR. PRICE: So you would like me to wait on the
21	drone?
22	MR. DELANEY: No, no. Go ahead. I think others

are interested, and I'll read about it, and we can

23

24

follow up on it.

1	MR. PRICE: All right, sounds good.
2	MR. DELANEY: Okay.
3	(Mr. Delaney leaves the room.)
4	NPS POLICY ON THE USE OF UAS - DRONES
5	MR. PRICE: So obviously the shorebird management
6	plan was the big topic. The NPS policy on drones, this
7	was what Rich had asked specifically last time that we
8	bring it up.
9	So the drones are the UAS's. Use of unmanned
10	aircraft is what they are.
11	MS. AVELLAR: UAS means what?
12	MS. LYONS: Use of unmanned aircraft.
13	MR. PRICE: Unmanned aircraft.
14	MS. AVELLAR: What does the S mean?
15	MR. PRICE: I'm sorry. It's not S.
16	The policy basically came out several years ago
17	when the Park Service all of a sudden the
18	proliferation of drones really became evident, and in a
19	number of parks, all of a sudden it appeared that there
20	were drones in the sky, and they were either harassing
21	the wildlife or they were following visitors. And then
22	in a couple of instances out in some of the western
23	parks when they were fighting fires, people had drones
24	up as the aircraft were in the air trying to put down

fire retardant, and the aircraft then had to be taken

out of the area and put on the ground so they could get

the drones out of the way.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So the director put a unilateral across-the-board prohibition on drones 100 percent, and all superintendents had to make sure that was in what's called the Superintendent's Compendium, which is where these things are listed. The only exception was the opportunity that if parks already had approval for model aircraft that they could apply to the Washington office to keep that as part of their process. The Cape Cod National Seashore has had the ability to use these model aircraft, remote control devices specifically at the Head of the Meadow and Truro area according to certain restrictions; time of year, no birds in the area, that sort of thing. So we still have that. The only exception for the use of drones was if there was a project that was beneficial to the mission of the National Park, that the superintendent would then request special authorization for that drone activity to take place.

So initially it was tightened up quite a bit, and then it was if the superintendent believes that this is a project they wish to recommend, that that project

1	would be put forth under certain application. So that
2	basically is the policy. That's the way it is right
3	now.
4	I have been approached by a number of commercial
5	photographers who wish to use drones, and basically they
6	do not support the mission of the National Park Service.
7	And the answer has been no. I have been approached by
8	two offices who are interested in scientific
9	investigation. One is the USGS who has been doing drone
10	aerial activity in a number of national parks, and they
11	particularly are interested in shoreline management
12	having to do with shoreline erosion and changes. We are
13	interested in working with this group. I have been
14	working with them for a number of months helping them
15	put together their application, and if they are
16	successful, they should get a permit for being able to
17	put the drones in the sky this March.
18	MS. AVELLAR: Only in March, or can they be up
19	there any old time?
20	MR. PRICE: Oh, no, just during the time that
21	they're permitted for.
22	MS. AVELLAR: Okay.
23	MR. ROBINSON: And, Mary-Jo, that's just for this
24	one specific purpose.

1 MR. PRICE: One specific purpose for shoreline 2 change.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

My immediate reaction, even if this prohibition hadn't been in place, I was dreading drones being proliferated in the Seashore. Number one, it's a privacy issue in my opinion for visitors. It's a privacy issue, the solitary walk on the beach. You don't want to be buzzed by a drone. And then there's the obvious issue of harassing animals. In fact, I don't know if I reported this last time. We had a surprise visit by the Secretary of the Interior. is Sally Jewell. We had her down at Coast Guard Beach, and there was somebody with a drone buzzing the gray seals. So they were about maybe 50 yards off the beach. There were a number of them bobbing. So it was either just before or after low tide because that's where the sandbar is. And I couldn't believe it. The thing was coming down to within four feet of the noses of these animals. They were about 150 yards down the beach, so we had to actually call law enforcement, and they went down and dealt with it.

So we have had real instances now of this happening. So I'm concerned at the Seashore, number one, with privacy and, number two, with harassment for

1 the animals.

24

2 We have one other request which was not followed 3 I don't know what's going on. We also have up. additional responsibility here because of the airport at 4 And I've spoken with Butch, and I wanted 5 Provincetown. Butch to know very clearly that I would not be giving 6 7 permission for drones anywhere near Provincetown unless he signed off on it already. He said he's been 8 9 approached independently by people that want to use 10 drones, and he has said the same thing in reverse. I'll give you an example. We actually had somebody that 11 12 was proposing for research purposes taking off from the airport, crossing the Park Service property to go out 13 14 over the North Atlantic to do their experimentation, and 15 then they would come back and land. So that to me 16 sounded like a plausible project that would probably be useful for the benefit of all of us. So obviously 17 18 they'd have to get the FAA; they'd have to get Provincetown airport; they'd have to get our approval 19 20 and then any requirements we would have for that permit 21 to happen. That permit has not proceeded as far as I 22 The other permit is the only other one thing 23 that's out there.

1	received copies of photographs of somebody that was out
2	by Highlands Center taking some aerial photographs
3	totally unauthorized. So if our rangers had seen them,
4	they would have been addressed, perhaps given a ticket
5	or a citation.
6	MS. AVELLAR: I mean, it's up in the air. Has it
7	got markings on it or something? How do you know who to
8	go after?
9	MR. PRICE: Well, in the case of the Coast Guard
10	Beach, the operator was right there on the beach.
11	MR. ROBINSON: What about the private properties,
12	the Realtor that wanted to go up over the house and take
13	a picture?
14	MR. PRICE: Well, if it's over the house straight
15	up, it's airspace that's not our responsibility. If
16	it's out over the Seashore property, then it's our
17	responsibility.
18	MS. AVELLAR: But a house in the Seashore? If it's
19	a house in the Seashore?
20	MR. ROBINSON: That's a good question.
21	MR. PRICE: Even if they say if they're sitting
22	at their property and they're buzzing the beach, then
23	the answer is no, that it's still prohibited.
24	MR. ROBINSON: If they're straight up over their

1	house?
2	MR. PRICE: Right.
3	MS. AVELLAR: They're totally annoying.
4	MR. PRICE: Right.
5	MS. AVELLAR: They're totally annoying. One buzzed
6	Bubala's, the whole patio at Bubala's this fall. It was
7	horrible.
8	MR. PRICE: Really?
9	MS. AVELLAR: Yeah, I think I know who it was too.
10	MR. PRICE: Yeah, that seems to be the
11	especially with aerial photography, that seems to be a
12	thing. I have a (inaudible) story that I'm not going to
13	take your time on. It's kind of related.
14	So anyway, Rich had asked for that specifically.
15	That's basically the long and short of drones.
16	MS. LYONS: Great.
17	NAUSET LIGHT BEACH
18	MR. PRICE: Nauset Light Beach. I just wanted to
19	share with you something that came up in this last year.
20	So I've reported in the past that Nauset Light Beach
21	facilities were ultimately going to have to be removed
22	and relocated on the property because of erosion. As
23	you probably recall, we've had to replace the stairs at
24	Nauset Light Beach several years in a row. It used to

be it was once every three to five years that we would have to replace the stairs. Now it's like every year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Last year we actually had to replace -- had to remove the boardwalk that was closest to the bluff because of fear of it being too close to the bluff. And what people have to understand is we can't wait till it's going off the edge. We have to be proactive while there's enough room to safely remove it with heavy equipment, just like we did with the interpretive shelter down here at Marconi site. So we removed that stairway and -- excuse me -- removed that, and last winter at that spot we had 18 feet of erosion in just that one spot. So even though we say we have on average three years of -- three feet of erosion a year, obviously we're (inaudible) more or less depending on the location. Interestingly enough, at that spot we had 18 feet. Up here at Marconi last winter we practically had none. So it very much depends on the physics of how the storm surge is coming in and what's happening.

This past fall we hosted some people from our contracting office. We brought them out there on a field trip, a site visit, and it was just obvious to all of us that that structure is not going to be around for very long. And not only do you have a structure which

1	is now closer to the edge, but we actually have part of
2	the septic system that's ahead of the structure on the
3	bluff side.
4	So, Mark, I don't know if you stopped by, but
5	MR. ROBINSON: I walked out there last week.
6	MR. PRICE: It's basically the bushes on the ocean
7	side that's to the east of the last part of the
8	facility. So the facility is here, but we actually have
9	I think it's a juncture box or distribution box for
10	the septic system out there. So it's actually a lot
11	closer to the edge.
12	MR. ROBINSON: And you see all the pipes sticking
13	out the
14	MR. PRICE: Yeah, but that's not that's not the
15	current system at all.
16	So we may be in a position if we were to get
17	funding where we might actually demolish those
18	facilities next fall. That would be what we're thinking
19	about right now. That would leave us without a new
20	constructed facility for a couple of years till the
21	money actually got into place. So we would have to
22	investigate like almost the industrial size party or
23	that type port-a-john systems that are kind of they
24	look like an 18-wheeler is what I'm envisioning in my

1	mind that we would probably have to put out there
2	temporarily until we secure the funds to actually move
3	forward with what we believe would be the new facility
4	which would border Ocean View Drive. And similar to
5	Provincetown, we believe that would at least be a 50-
6	year lifespan for that facility.
7	So I contacted the town manager in Eastham last
8	month that we were going to do this field trip. This
9	morning several members of the Commission came out and
10	went on site with us in the cold weather, and the town
11	planner from Eastham came out so we could just at least
12	have that conversation. So it's not a done deal, but I
13	didn't want people to think that we were doing this
14	without the town being notified. So Kathy and I need to
15	figure out with our regional office what the reality is
16	to obtain demolition money and then have to do some
17	research on what a temporary restroom facility would
18	actually be.
19	MR. ROBINSON: To be a good Cape Codder, we like to
20	jack things up and move them.
21	MR. PRICE: Yes.
22	MR. ROBINSON: Not possible?
23	MR. PRICE: Not with those 1960s facilities. We
24	would salvage during the last restoration, we put a

1	very serious pergola for a shade shelter out there.
2	That could be salvaged.
3	MS. TEVYAW: And the showers.
4	MR. PRICE: And the showers.
5	MS. TEVYAW: And the fixtures.
6	MR. PRICE: Yeah, the fixtures and things we can.
7	We scrounge with the best of them, but I wouldn't
8	anticipate being able to relocate that structure.
9	MS. LYONS: Yes, Lilli?
10	MS. GREEN: I was one of the lucky people in the
11	wilds of the weather this morning, but I do appreciate
12	George having the field trip this morning. It was
13	really informative and not only just going there and
14	looking at the site but also hearing both Kathy and
15	George speak about it was really very helpful, and I
16	would encourage people to go and look at it if you
17	haven't seen it.
18	I really thought it could be a modular situation
19	that the stairs could go in there. I realize it's just
20	it's practically impossible to do what they've been
21	doing. I mean, in the '70s it was just a stairway that
22	went up and down, but with the rules and regulations in
23	the Park now, they explained you can't do that anymore.
24	And you can really understand where they're coming from,

why they're doing it, and what the plans are for the future.

MR. NUENDEL: It's a challenge.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. PRICE: So we didn't -- you know, that was the field trip, and this is the new information. It's not new information, but for those of you that have visited Herring Cove North parking lot since the holidays, just before the holidays is when we had our first storm and the failure of what's called the revetment, which is the base of the parking lot that goes down to the beach. was small enough, the weather was warm enough, and we had some extra fill materials, so we actually patched it at that point. Unfortunately, during the holiday week at the most north part of the parking lot nearest the vault toilets, we had a couple of other failures to the point where now it's at least ten parking spaces. And that's a very steep part of the bank, so it looks pretty -- there's a couple of large craters there. We've been in contact with our regional office already to let them know that we'll be asking for emergency storm damage again and also to remind them that this is part of the reason that this project, a permanent fix is what we're going to need to do to actually solve this.

The list that these projects are on, the line item

construction, is a national list that our projects are on. And the good news is it's on the list, but as I've said, these lists go up and down with priority. We're currently trying to find out what the current priority is as far as the new fiscal year and where they're going. The good news is that there's allegedly additional money provided by Congress in this bill for the Centennial for the National Park Service line item construction, but the bad news is we also have 409 units that we're competing against and these things move around. I'm always the guy that the glass is half full, and for the bathhouse, it was bumped up on the priority list by a couple of years, but we have to find out exactly where we are for the permanent fix on this other place.

NAUSET SPIT AND NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CENTENNIAL

MR. PRICE: I realize we're running late on our schedule. So I don't really have additional new information either on the Nauset Spit or on the Park Service Centennial other than to let you know that we're still very excited to continue to work with the Cape Cod Healthcare on the Healthy Parks, Healthy People. They actually are working with the Cape Cod Mall sponsoring the eternal walk on the mall in the mornings. And if

1	you walk through the mall now, you'll actually see on
2	all the mileposts the Healthy Parks, Healthy People
3	logo, which includes the National Park Service as well
4	as the Cape Cod Healthcare. We will be staffing that
5	intermittently, and we're going to be rolling out a more
6	aggressive or extensive program for that this coming
7	summer.
8	HIGHLANDS CENTER UPDATE
9	MR. PRICE: I think the one thing that I would like
10	just to share with you having to do with the Highlands
11	Center is we're very pleased with the fact that we
12	finally were able to have some PV installed at some of
13	the buildings up there.
14	Lauren, you said you
15	MS. McKEAN: Sure, I've got a couple pictures.
16	MR. PRICE: You have pictures you could pass
17	around.
18	MS. McKEAN: I can tell you a little bit about the
19	capacity.
20	So we've got funds that came in May, and we've
21	already got the installation completed in December,
22	which is pretty remarkable. We got funds for the
23	Atlantic Research and Learning Center classroom roof and
24	the biolab roof. They're two different systems. One is

1	a rack system, and one is a ballasted system. The
2	ballasted system is the new roof. The new (inaudible)
3	at the biolab will not be penetrated, which was really a
4	key concern. They're both going to meet building code
5	and (inaudible) and all that kind of thing.
6	So the total system capacity is 28,000 kilowatts,
7	which is an annual estimated production of 35,000
8	kilowatt hours, which is about six houses, more than six
9	houses. So we're really excited about that. It's going
10	to be a major connection with the Park Service.
11	MR. PRICE: So as far as PV goes, by the way, we're
12	excited about that. We do have PV up at the Herring
13	Cove facilities. We've talked about the PV in this
14	area, and Provincetown has asked us to see if we can't
15	put some PV on the landfill facility up at Provincetown
16	as well that's owned by the Seashore.
17	So basically I think, unless there are other
18	questions
19	MS. LYONS: We can move on?
20	MR. PRICE: We can move on.
21	Oh, I'm sorry, Lauren?
22	MS. McKEAN: I just thought we should not skip by
23	improved properties/town bylaws.
24	MS. LYONS: The town bylaws, I was just going to

1	ask you.
2	IMPROVED PROPERTIES/TOWN BYLAWS
3	MS. McKEAN: The Town of Truro is working on or
4	the Town of Truro Planning Board and individuals,
5	including Maureen Burgess, are working on town bylaw
6	changes for the Seashore District. We just got a bunch
7	of e-mails in Friday and today from Maureen. So we
8	haven't really been able to scrutinize it yet, but it
9	looks like a sliding scale sort of based on what
10	Wellfleet did.
11	MS. LYONS: So do you want to continue this
12	conversation for the next meeting?
13	MR. PRICE: We'd have to see if Truro is ready to
14	
15	MS. LYONS: Okay, but we can move this forward,
16	this discussion?
17	MR. PRICE: Right.
18	MS. LYONS: And it also includes the review of the
19	wireless cell tower in Wellfleet, not just Truro.
20	Is there any information on that? I have it here.
21	MR. PRICE: Right, the wireless, I think that was,
22	Lauren, referring to the wireless that was in Eastham,
23	wasn't it?
24	MS. McKEAN: Wellfleet, yes. The Wellfleet just

1	south of here down near the Even'tide Motel. Where was
2	it? The Horton's property.
3	MS. LYONS: What about it?
4	MS. McKEAN: It's a 90-foot monopole tower that has
5	gone through their planning board and the development of
6	development of regional impact process through the
7	Cape Cod Commission.
8	MS. LYONS: And it's been approved?
9	MS. McKEAN: It was approved by both, yes.
10	MS. LYONS: Yes?
11	MR. CRAIG: That's very nice, but they have nothing
12	to say about it. The town has nothing to say about it.
13	The Cape Cod Commission has nothing to say about it.
14	It's covered by federal law. I've been through this
15	with the ZBA in Chatham, and federal law precedes it.
16	It's nice you can talk about it all you want, but it's
17	going to happen.
18	MS. LYONS: I guess it's nice to have the approval.
19	However okay, anything on the Herring? Do we have
20	any other comment on that?
21	(No response.)
22	HERRING RIVER WETLAND RESTORATION
23	MS. LYONS: Nothing on Herring River?
24	(No response.)

1	MS. LYONS: Anything else?
2	(No response.)
3	CLIMATE FRIENDLY PARKS
4	MS. LYONS: Climate?
5	MS. McKEAN: Climate Friendly Parks, we're about to
6	release our green team film. We're going to have
7	(inaudible) Cape and Islands roll it out.
8	OLD BUSINESS
9	MS. LYONS: Old Business.
10	MR. ROBINSON: That's me.
11	MS. LYONS: Okay, all right.
12	LIVE LIGHTLY CAMPAIGN PROGRESS REPORT
13	MR. ROBINSON: I had a little report about some new
14	acquisition in Truro right on the (inaudible), but I
15	think I'll wait until next time.
16	MS. LYONS: Okay.
17	NEW BUSINESS
18	MS. LYONS: Any new business?
19	MR. PRICE: So, Lauren, we're going to pass till
20	next meeting.
21	MS. LYONS: Okay.
22	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you anyway.
23	MS. LYONS: You're welcome. We look forward to it
24	at our next meeting.

1	Is there any new business?
2	(No response.)
3	MS. LYONS: Do we have any new business or things
4	that we want to consider for our next agenda?
5	Discussions? Further discussions?
6	Yes?
7	MS. AVELLAR: The shorebird management.
8	MR. SPAULDING: Well, it may not be the next
9	meeting, but at some point if when the plan is further
10	along, obviously you'll give us the report, but when
11	it's approved, if there have been changes that you've
12	actually adopted or differences from what originally
13	came out
14	MR. PRICE: Yes.
15	MR. SPAULDING: I think it would be good if we
16	got to
17	MR. PRICE: Yes, yes.
18	MS. LYONS: Yes, we'd like to have that discussion.
19	MR. PRICE: Or maybe by March, which will be the
20	next meeting, we'll probably be halfway through our
21	comments.
22	MS. LYONS: It will be on the topic it will be
23	on the agenda.
24	MR. PRICE: It will stay on the agenda.

1	MS. GREEN: And I'm sure we'll have a response as
2	well, right? The comments and responses?
3	MR. PRICE: They're all going to be responded at
4	the same time. If we have 2,000 comments to wade
5	through, it will be interesting.
6	MS. LYONS: Well, I think it would also be
7	beneficial for us to bring the conversation that was
8	here, that the surface isn't necessarily the whole story
9	of what you were just reading on the story and that
10	there's more more in the detail and, as the Governor
11	likes to say, the devil is always in the detail. But
12	anyway but it's actually true here.
13	DATE AND AGENDA FOR NEXT MEETING
13 14	DATE AND AGENDA FOR NEXT MEETING MS. LYONS: So our next agenda and meeting date.
14	MS. LYONS: So our next agenda and meeting date.
14 15	MS. LYONS: So our next agenda and meeting date. MR. PRICE: Right, I would recommend we take a look
14 15 16	MS. LYONS: So our next agenda and meeting date. MR. PRICE: Right, I would recommend we take a look at either March 14 or the 21st.
14 15 16 17	MS. LYONS: So our next agenda and meeting date. MR. PRICE: Right, I would recommend we take a look at either March 14 or the 21st. MS. GREEN: I would ask for the 14th.
14 15 16 17 18	MS. LYONS: So our next agenda and meeting date. MR. PRICE: Right, I would recommend we take a look at either March 14 or the 21st. MS. GREEN: I would ask for the 14th. MR. SPAULDING: I'll be away on the 21st.
14 15 16 17 18	MS. LYONS: So our next agenda and meeting date. MR. PRICE: Right, I would recommend we take a look at either March 14 or the 21st. MS. GREEN: I would ask for the 14th. MR. SPAULDING: I'll be away on the 21st. MS. LYONS: So there are two that the 14th is
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. LYONS: So our next agenda and meeting date. MR. PRICE: Right, I would recommend we take a look at either March 14 or the 21st. MS. GREEN: I would ask for the 14th. MR. SPAULDING: I'll be away on the 21st. MS. LYONS: So there are two that the 14th is better.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. LYONS: So our next agenda and meeting date. MR. PRICE: Right, I would recommend we take a look at either March 14 or the 21st. MS. GREEN: I would ask for the 14th. MR. SPAULDING: I'll be away on the 21st. MS. LYONS: So there are two that the 14th is better. MR. PRICE: How about the 14th?

1	think you can get around that.
2	MS. LYONS: Okay. Well, have fun.
3	MR. NUENDEL: Thanks. My cohort
4	MR. PRICE: We'll have to get Nat.
5	MR. NUENDEL: Yeah, Nat.
6	MS. LYONS: So we'll put it on for March 14.
7	That's fine for me as well.
8	If that is all, do I have a motion to adjourn?
9	MS. AVELLAR: So moved.
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Public comment?
11	MR. PRICE: Oh, yes.
12	PUBLIC COMMENT
13	MS. LYONS: Oh, yes, Public Comment was at the end,
14	but I thought we oh, public comment in general. We
14 15	but I thought we oh, public comment in general. We took public comment for the shorebird.
15	took public comment for the shorebird.
15 16	took public comment for the shorebird. Is there any other public comment?
15 16 17	took public comment for the shorebird. Is there any other public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Yes, respectively,
15 16 17 18	took public comment for the shorebird. Is there any other public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Yes, respectively, it says here that I should submit a request to make
15 16 17 18 19	took public comment for the shorebird. Is there any other public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Yes, respectively, it says here that I should submit a request to make public comment prior to the meeting. It says that right
15 16 17 18 19 20	took public comment for the shorebird. Is there any other public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Yes, respectively, it says here that I should submit a request to make public comment prior to the meeting. It says that right here.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	took public comment for the shorebird. Is there any other public comment? AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Yes, respectively, it says here that I should submit a request to make public comment prior to the meeting. It says that right here. MR. PRICE: Well, that's one of the options.

1	It's another thing having heard from many, many people
2	about handing a carte blanche decision-making process
3	for predator management, which includes in your language
4	the use of poisons, with no oversight. Nobody is going
5	to be overseeing what the Park does with that kind of
6	discretion. And although I generally trust the Park, on
7	this issue I cannot, given also that so many people in
8	the public are worried about it. So if you had voted to
9	give them the discretion for predator management with an
10	exception of the use of poisons, that I think would be
11	more responsive to the public that's giving input.
12	MR. SPAULDING: The motion may I respond?
13	MS. LYONS: Go ahead.
14	MR. SPAULDING: The motion began by if. It didn't
15	say we were in favor of anything related to predator
16	management.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): You said if ?
18	MS. LYONS: Yes, if it legally
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): That's what I'm
20	disagreeing with. Thank you.
21	MS. LYONS: Yes, Mark?
22	MR. ROBINSON: I take exception with the carte
23	blanche. I mean, that's not in the spirit of the
24	motion.

1		AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): Who is overseeing
2	it?	Nobody.
3		MR. ROBINSON: Carte blanche implies that it's
4	will	y-nilly; it's whatever they feel like.
5		AUDIENCE MEMBER (MS. WILSON): No, I wasn't
6	impl	ying that.
7		ADJOURNMENT
8		MS. LYONS: If there are no further comments, do we
9	have	a motion to adjourn?
10		MS. AVELLAR: So moved.
11		MS. LYONS: Second?
12		MR. NUENDEL: Second.
13		MS. AVELLAR: Don't need a second to adjourn.
14		MS. LYONS: All in favor?
15		BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
16		MS. LYONS: There you go. Thank you, Mary-Jo.
17		MS. AVELLAR: Moderator.
18		(Whereupon, at 2:59 p.m. the proceedings were
19	adjourned	.)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

PLYMOUTH, SS

I, <u>Linda M. Corcoran</u>, a Court Reporter and Notary

Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do

hereby certify that:

The foregoing 100 pages comprises a true, complete, and accurate transcript to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability of the proceedings of the meeting of the Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission at Marconi Station Area, Park Headquarters, South Wellfleet, Massachusetts, on Monday, January 11, 2016, commencing at 1:01 p.m.

I further certify that I am a disinterested person to these proceedings.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and notarial seal this 29th day of February, 2016.

Linda M. Corcoran - Court Reporter My commission expires: August 28, 2020